arXiv:1012.3425v1 [math.OA] 15 Dec 2010

ON UNIFORM EQUICONTINUITY OF SEQUENCES OF MEASURABLE OPERATORS

SEMYON LITVINOV
Pennsylvania State University, Hazleton

Abstract: The notion of uniform equicontinuity in measure at zero for sequences of additive maps from a normed space into the space of measurable operators associated with a semifinite von Neumann algebra is discussed. It is shown that uniform equicontinuity in measure at zero on a dense subset implies the uniform equicontinuity in measure at zero on the entire space, which is then applied to derive some non-commutative ergodic theorems.

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 46L50, Secondary 47A35

1. Introduction and preliminaries. Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_0$ ($\mathcal{L}$) the set of equivalence classes of all (respectively, almost everywhere finite) real or complex valued measurable functions on Ω. If X is a given set and $a_n : X \to \mathcal{L}$, $n = 1, 2, ...$, let

$$a^\star(x) = \sup_n |a_n(x)|, \ x \in X.$$

The function $a^\star : X \to \mathcal{L}_0$ is called a *maximal operator* associated with the family $\{a_n\}$.

Let now $(X, |\cdot|)$ be a normed space, $a_n : X \to L$ a sequence of additive maps, τ_μ the measure topology in $\mathcal{L}$. The τ_μ-continuity of $a^\star$ at zero means that, for any given $\epsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$, it is possible to find such $\gamma > 0$ that $x \in X$ and $|x| < \gamma$ would imply that there exists a measurable set $E \subset \Omega$ for which the conditions

$$\mu(\Omega \setminus E) \leq \epsilon \ \text{ and } \ \|a^\star(x)\chi_E\|_\infty \leq \delta$$

hold. (Here $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the uniform norm in $\mathcal{L}^\infty = \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega)$ and χ_E is the characteristic function of the set E.)

Proposition 1. *If* $\{a_n(x)\chi_E\}_1^\infty \subset \mathcal{L}^\infty$, *then*

$$\|a^\star(x)\chi_E\|_\infty = \sup_n \|a_n(x)\chi_E\|_\infty.$$

Proof. It is enough to show and easy to see that, given $\lambda > 0$, $\sup_n \|a_n(x)\chi_E\|_\infty > \lambda$ if and only if $\|a^\star(x)\chi_E\|_\infty > \lambda$. ∎

Therefore, the τ_μ-continuity at zero of the maximal operator associated with a sequence of additive maps $a_n : X \to \mathcal{L}$ is, in fact, the *uniform equicontinuity in measure* of the family

$\{a_n\}$ at zero. This will allow us to extend the notion of τ_μ-continuity of the maximal operator at zero to the non-commutative setting (see the definition below). The importance of this notion can be illustrated, for example, by the fact that τ_μ-continuity at zero of the maximal operator associated with a sequence $a_n : X \to \mathcal{L}$ of additive maps implies that the set $\{x \in X : \{a_n(x)\}$ converges almost everywhere$\}$ is closed in X (see, for instance, [Ga, p.3]; also [Li, Theorem 2]); thus, almost everywhere convergence of the sequence $\{a_n(x)\}$ for every x in a dense subset of X entails its convergence for all $x \in X$.

Let $(H, (\cdot,\cdot))$ be a Hilbert space, $\|\cdot\| = (\cdot,\cdot)^{1/2}$, $B(H)$ the algebra of all bounded linear operators in H, $\mathbb{I}$ the unit of $B(H)$. Assume that $M \subset B(H)$ is a semifinite von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful normal semifinite trace τ. We denote by $P(\mathcal{M})$ the complete lattice of all projections in $\mathcal{M}$ and set $e^\perp = \mathbb{I} - e$ whenever $e \in P(M)$. If $\{e_i\}_{i\in I} \subset P(\mathcal{M})$, then $\vee_{i\in I} e_i$ is the projection on the subspace $\overline{\cup_{i\in I} e_i H}^{\|\cdot\|}$ while $\wedge_{i\in I} e_i$ is the projection on the subspace $\cap_{i\in I} e_i H$. One can check that $(\vee_{i\in I} e_i)^\perp = \wedge_{i\in I} e_i^\perp$ and $(\wedge_{i\in I} e_i)^\perp = \vee_{i\in I} e_i^\perp$.

A densely-defined closed operator x in H is said to be *affiliated* with the algebra M if $x'x \subset xx'$ for every $x' \in B(H)$ such that $x'x = xx'$ for all $x \in M$. An operator x affiliated with M is called *τ-measurable* if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists such $e \in P(M)$ with $\tau(e^\perp) \le \epsilon$ that the subspace eH belongs to the domain, D_x, of x. (In this case of course $xe \in M$.) Let $L = L(M,\tau)$ be the set of all measurable operators affiliated with the algebra M. Denote $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ the uniform norm in $B(H)$. The topology t_τ defined in L by the family

$$\left\{V(\epsilon,\delta) = \{x \in L : \|xe\|_\infty \le \delta \ \text{ for some } e \in P(M) \ \text{ with } \tau(e^\perp) \le \epsilon\} : \ \epsilon > 0, \ \delta > 0\right\}$$

of (closed) neighborhoods of zero is called a *measure topology.* Note that, if one replaces the condition $\|xe\|_\infty \le \delta$ above by generally weaker condition $\|exe\|_\infty \le \delta$, then the corresponding family of neighborhoods of zero generates the same topology t_τ [CLS, Theorem 2.2].

We say that a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset L$ converges to $x \in L$ *almost uniformly (a.u.) (bilaterally almost uniformly (b.a.u.))* if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists such $e \in P(M)$ that $\|(x - x_n)e\|_\infty \to 0$ (respectively, $\|e(x - x_n)e\|_\infty \to 0$). Note that the algebra L is complete with respect to both a.u. and b.a.u. convergences [CLS, Theorem 2.3 and Remark 2.4].

For a positive self-adjoint operator $x = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda$ affiliated with M one can define

$$\tau(x) = \sup_n \tau\left(\int_0^n \lambda de_\lambda\right) = \int_0^\infty \lambda d\tau(e_\lambda).$$

If $1 \le p < \infty$, then the non-commutative *L^p-space* associated with (M,τ) is defined as

$$L^p = L^p(M,\tau) = \{x \in L : \|x\|_p = (\tau(|x|^p))^{1/p} < \infty\},$$

where $|x| = (x^*x)^{1/2}$, the absolute value of x. Naturally, $L^\infty = M$.

In what follows, we shall use the following well-known properties of non-commutative L^p-spaces without reference.

(i) If $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $x \in L^\infty$ and $y \in L^p$, then $\|xy\|_p \leq \|x\|_\infty \|y\|_p$.

(ii) Given $1 \leq p < \infty$, both $L^p \cap M$ and $L^1 \cap M$ are dense in L^p.

(iii) If $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $x, y \in L^p$ and $0 \leq x \leq y$, then $\|x\|_p \leq \|y\|_p$.

If $X \subset L$, we denote

$$X_h = \{x \in X : x^* = x\} \text{ and } X_+ = \{x \in X : x \geq 0\}.$$

The following fundamental result of Kadison [Ka] will be used extensively in the sequel.

Theorem (Kadison's inequality). *Let $a : M \to M$ be a positive linear map such that $a(\mathbb{I}) \leq \mathbb{I}$. Then $a(x)^2 \leq a(x^2)$ for every $x \in M_h$.*

2. Uniform equicontinuity in non-commutative setting. Let X be any set. If $a_i : X \to L$, $i \in I$, and $e \in P(M)$ are such that $\{a_i(x)e\}_{i \in I} \subset M$ ($\{ea_i(x)e\}_{i \in I} \subset M$), we denote

$$a^*(x,e) = \sup_{i \in I} \|a_i(x)e\|_\infty \quad \left(\text{respectively, } a_b^*(x,e) = \sup_{i \in I} \|ea_i(x)e\|_\infty\right).$$

Definition. Let $(X, |\cdot|)$ be a normed space, X_0 a subset of X such that 0, the neutral element of X, is an accumulation point of X_0. A family $a_i : X \to L$, $i \in I$, of additive maps is called *uniformly equicontinuous in measure (u.e.m.) (bilaterally uniformly equicontinuous in measure (b.u.e.m.)) at* 0 *on* X_0 if, given $\epsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$, there is such $\gamma > 0$ that for every $x \in X_0$ with $|x| < \gamma$ there exists $e \in P(M)$ for which

$$\tau(e^\perp) \leq \epsilon \text{ and } a^*(x,e) \leq \delta \text{ (respectively, } a_b^*(x,e) \leq \delta).$$

Remark 1. As we explained in the previous section, in the commutative case with a countable I, the notion of uniform equicontinuity (bilateral uniform equicontinuity) in measure at 0 of a family $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ reduces to τ_μ-continuity at zero of the maximal operator associated with this family.

Lemma 1. [CL, Lemma 1.6] *Let $(X, +)$ be a semigroup, and let $a_n : X \to L$ be a sequence of additive maps. Assume that $x \in X$ is such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist a sequence $\{x_k\} \subset X$ and a projection $e \in P(M)$ such that the following conditions hold:*

(i) $\{a_n(x + x_k)\}$ converges a.u. (b.a.u.) as $n \to \infty$ for each k;

(ii) $\tau(e^\perp) \leq \epsilon$;

(iii) $a^*(x_k, e) \to 0$ (respectively, $a_b^*(x_k, e) \to 0$).

Then the sequence $\{a_n(x)\}$ also converges a.u. (b.a.u., respectively).

Theorem 1. (see [CL, Theorem 2.3]) *Assume that* $(X, |\cdot|)$ *is a normed space and* $a_n : X \to L$ *is a sequence of additive maps. If the family* $\{a_n\}$ *is u.e.m. (b.u.e.m.) at* 0 *on* X*, then the set* $C = \{x \in X : \{a_n(x)\}$ *converges a.u. (respectively, b.a.u.)*$\}$ *is closed in* X*.*

Proof. We shall consider the case of b.a.u. convergence; proof for the a.u. convergence is similar.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Since the family $\{a_n\}$ is b.u.e. at zero on X, for every given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is such $\gamma_k > 0$ that $x \in X$ and $|x| < \gamma_k$ imply that it is possible to find $e_{k,x} \in P(M)$ for which

$$\tau(e_{k,x}^{\perp}) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2^k} \ \text{ and } \ a_b^*(x, e_{k,x}) \leq \frac{1}{k}.$$

Pick $x \in \overline{C}$. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $y_k \in C$ be such that $|y_k - x| < \gamma_k$. Then, denoting $y_k - x = x_k$, let a sequence $\{e_k\} \subset P(M)$ be such that

$$\tau(e_k^{\perp}) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2^k} \ \text{ and } \ a_b^*(x_k, e_k) \leq \frac{1}{k}, \ k = 1, 2, \ldots .$$

We have $x + x_k = y_k \in C$ for every k. Also, if $e = \wedge e_k$, then

$$\tau(e^{\perp}) \leq \epsilon \ \text{ and } \ a_b^*(x_k, e) \leq \frac{1}{k} \to 0.$$

Consequently, Lemma 1 yields $x \in C$. ∎

Let a positive linear map $\alpha : L^1 \to L^1$ be such that $\alpha(x) \leq \mathbb{I}$ and $\tau(\alpha(x)) \leq \tau(x)$ for every $x \in L^1 \cap M$ with $0 \leq x \leq \mathbb{I}$. Note that, as it is shown in [Ye], $\|\alpha(x)\|_p \leq \|x\|_p$ for each $x \in L_h^1 \cap M$ and all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Besides, there exist unique continuous extensions $\alpha : L^p \to L^p$ for every $1 \leq p < \infty$ and a unique ultra-weakly continuous extension $\alpha : M \to M$.

If $x \in L^1$, denote

$$a_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha^k(x), \ n = 1, 2, \ldots . \tag{1}$$

The following theorem due to Yeadon [Ye] plays an important role in this article.

Theorem 2. *If the maps* $a_n : L^1 \to L^1$ *are given by (1), then, for every* $x \in L^1$ *and* $\nu > 0$*, there is such* $e \in P(M)$ *that*

$$\tau(e^{\perp}) \leq \frac{4\|x\|_1}{\nu} \ \text{ and } \ a_b^*(x, e) \leq 4\nu.$$

Remark 2. If $x \in L_+^1$, then $e \in P(M)$ in Theorem 2 can be chosen to satisfy

$$\tau(e^{\perp}) \leq \frac{\|x\|_1}{\nu} \ \text{ and } \ a_b^*(x, e) \leq \nu.$$

Corollary 1. *The family* $\{a_n\}$ *given by formula (1) is b.u.e.m. at* 0 *on* $(L^1, \|\cdot\|_1)$*.*

Corollary 2. *If* $X_0 = L^1 \cap \{x \in L^\infty : \|x\|_\infty \leq 1\}$, *then the family* $\{a_n\}$ *given by (1) is u.e.m. at* 0 *on* $(X_0, \|\cdot\|_1)$.

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, and let $\nu > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ be such that $2\nu^{1/2} \leq \delta$ and $\frac{4\gamma}{\nu} \leq \epsilon$. Take $x \in X_0$ with $\|x\|_1 < \gamma$. Since $x^2 \in L^1$, Theorem 2 implies that there exists such $e \in P(M)$ that $\tau(e^\perp) \leq \frac{4\|x^2\|_1}{\nu}$ and $a_b^*(x^2, e) \leq 4\nu$. Then we have $\tau(e^\perp) < \frac{4\gamma}{\nu} \leq \epsilon$ and, by virtue of Kadison's inequality,

$$\|a_n(x)e\|_\infty^2 = \|ea_n(x)^2e\|_\infty \leq \|ea_n(x^2)e\|_\infty \leq 4\nu \quad \text{for every } n,$$

implying that $a^*(x, e) \leq \delta$. ∎

3. Reduction to a dense subset. In this section we will show that the problem of determining whether a sequence of t_τ-continuous additive maps is u.e.m. (b.u.e.m.) at 0 on a given set can be reduced to considering a dense subset of this set.

Lemma 2. *If* $y \in L$ *and* $e \in P(M)$ *are such that* $ye \in M$, *then*

$$\|ye\|_\infty = \sup_{\eta \in eH,\ \|\eta\|=1} \|y\eta\|.$$

Proof. We have

$$\|ye\|_\infty = \sup_{\eta \neq 0} \frac{\|ye\eta\|}{\|\eta\|} \leq \sup_{e\eta \neq 0} \frac{\|ye\eta\|}{\|e\eta\|} = \sup_{\eta \in eH,\ \|\eta\|=1} \|y\eta\|.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sup_{\eta \in eH,\ \|\eta\|=1} \|y\eta\| = \sup_{\eta \in eH,\ \|\eta\|=1} \|ye\eta\| \leq \sup_{\|\eta\|=1} \|ye\eta\| = \|ye\|_\infty,$$

and the desired formula follows. ∎

Lemma 3. *If* $y \in L$ *and* $f, g \in P(M)$ *are such that* $yf, yg \in L^\infty$, *then*

$$\|y(f \vee g)\|_\infty = \max\{\|yf\|_\infty, \|yg\|_\infty\}.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2, we can write

$$\|y(f \vee g)\|_\infty = \sup_{\eta \in (f\vee g)H,\ \|\eta\|=1} \|y\eta\| = \sup_{\eta \in fH \cup gH,\ \|\eta\|=1} \|y\eta\| =$$

$$= \max\left\{\sup_{\eta \in fH,\ \|\eta\|=1} \|y\eta\|, \sup_{\eta \in gH,\ \|\eta\|=1} \|y\eta\|\right\} = \max\{\|yf\|_\infty, \|yg\|_\infty\}.$$

∎

Proposition 1. *(i) If* $y \in L$, $\{e_n\}_1^\infty \subset P(M)$, *and* $\delta > 0$ *are such that* $\sup_n \|ye_n\|_\infty \leq \delta$, *then* $\|ye\|_\infty \leq \delta$, *where* $e = \vee e_n$*;*

(ii) if $y \in L$, $\{e_n\}_1^\infty \subset P(M)$, *and* $\delta > 0$ *are such that* $\sup_n \|e_n y e_n\|_\infty \leq \delta$, *then* $\|eye\|_\infty \leq \delta$, *where* $e = \vee e_n$.

Proof. (i) Take $\eta \in \overline{\cup e_n H}^{\|\cdot\|}$. Without loss of generality, there is $\eta_n \in e_n H$, $n = 1, 2, ...$, such that $\|\eta - \eta_n\| \to 0$. Then, utilizing Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\|y\eta_m - y\eta_n\| = \|y(e_m \vee e_n)\eta_m - y(e_m \vee e_n)\eta_n\| \leq$$

$$\leq \|y(e_m \vee e_n)\|_\infty \|\eta_m - \eta_n\| \leq \delta \|\eta_m - \eta_n\| \to 0 \text{ as } m, n \to 0.$$

Thus, we have $\eta_n \to \eta$ and $y\eta_n \to \bar{\eta}$ for some $\bar{\eta} \in H$. Since y is closed, it follows that $\eta \in D_y$ and $y\eta = \bar{\eta}$. Consequently,

$$\|y\eta\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|y\eta_n\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|ye_n\eta_n\| \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \|ye_n\|_\infty \|\eta_n\| \leq \delta\|\eta\|,$$

which implies that $\|ye\eta\| = \|y\eta\| \leq \delta\|\eta\|$, hence $\|ye\|_\infty \leq \delta$ by Lemma 2.

(ii) Since $|y^{1/2}e_n|^2 = e_n y e_n \in M$, we have $|y^{1/2}e_n| \in M$, hence $y^{1/2}e_n \in M$ for every n. Therefore, we can write

$$\|y^{1/2}e_n\|_\infty^2 = \|e_n y e_n\|_\infty \leq \delta, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \,,$$

which, by (i), implies that $\|y^{1/2}e\|_\infty \leq \delta^{1/2}$. Thus

$$\|eye\|_\infty \leq \|ey^{1/2}\|_\infty \|y^{1/2}e\|_\infty = \|y^{1/2}e\|_\infty^2 \leq \delta.$$

∎

Theorem 3. *Let* $(X, |\cdot|)$ *be a Banach space, and let* $a_n : X \to (L, t_\tau)$ *be a sequence of continuous additive maps. If the family* $\{a_n\}$ *is b.u.e.m. at* 0 *on a set* $X_0 \subset X$ *such that* $\{a_n(x)\} \subset L_+$ *for all* $x \in \overline{X}_0$*, where* $\overline{X}_0$ *is the closure of* X_0 *in* X*, then it is also b.u.e.m. at* 0 *on* $\overline{X}_0$.

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. There exists $\gamma > 0$ such that $x \in X_0$ and $|x| < \gamma$ entail $a_b^*(x, e_x) \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ for some $e_x \in P(M)$ with $\tau(e_x^\perp) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}$.

Let $x \in \overline{X}_0$ and $|x| < \gamma$. Then there is a sequence $\{x_k\} \subset X_0$ satisfying conditions $|x - x_k| \to 0$ and $|x_k| < \gamma$ for every k. Consequently, we can find a sequence $\{e_k\} \subset P(M)$ such that

$$\tau(e_k^\perp) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad a_b^*(x_k, e_k) \leq \frac{\delta}{2}, \; k = 1, 2, \ldots \,.$$

Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and take any $1 \leq n \leq N$. Since a_n is t_τ-continuous at 0, it is possible to find such $\gamma_n > 0$ that $|x - x_k| < \gamma_n$ would imply that $a_n(x - x_k) \in V\left(\frac{\epsilon}{3\cdot 2^n}, \frac{\delta}{2}\right)$. Then, setting $\gamma =$

$min\{\gamma_1,...,\gamma_N\}$ and choosing k_N such that $|x-x_{k_N}|<\gamma$, we infer that $a_n(x-x_{k_N})\in V\left(\frac{\epsilon}{3\cdot 2^n},\frac{\delta}{2}\right)$ for every $n=1,...,N$. Therefore, there exists such $f_{Nn}\in P(M)$ that

$$\tau(f_{Nn}^{\perp})\leq\frac{\epsilon}{3\cdot 2^n},\ 1\leq n\leq N,\ \text{ and }\ \max_{n\leq N}\|a_n(x-x_{k_N})f_{Nn}\|_\infty\leq\frac{\delta}{2}.$$

If we put $f_N=\wedge_{n=1}^N f_{Nn}$, then

$$\tau(f_N^{\perp})<\frac{\epsilon}{3}\quad\text{ and }\quad\max_{n\leq N}\|a_n(x-x_{k_N})f_N\|_\infty\leq\frac{\delta}{2}.$$

If $h_N\in P(M)$ is chosen such that $\tau(h_N^{\perp})\leq\frac{\epsilon}{3}$ and $\{a_n(x)h_N\}_{n=1}^N\subset M$, then, defining $g_N=e_{k_N}\wedge f_N\wedge h_N$, we have $\tau(g_N^{\perp})<\epsilon$ and

$$\max_{n\leq N}\|g_N a_n(x)g_N\|_\infty\leq\max_{n\leq N}\|g_N a_n(x-x_{k_N})g_N\|_\infty+\max_{n\leq N}\|g_N a_n(x_{k_N})g_N\|_\infty\leq$$

$$\leq\max_{n\leq N}\|a_n(x-x_{k_N})f_N\|_\infty+\max_{n\leq N}\|e_{k_N}a_n(x_{k_N})e_{k_N}\|_\infty\leq\delta$$

for every N.

Let $g=\wedge_n\vee_{N\geq n}g_N$. Then $g^{\perp}=\vee_n\wedge_{N\geq n}g_N^{\perp}$, which implies that $\wedge_{N\geq n}g_N^{\perp}\nearrow g^{\perp}$ as $n\to\infty$, so $\tau(g^{\perp})=\sup_n\tau(\wedge_{N\geq n}g_N^{\perp})\leq\sup_N\tau(g_N^{\perp})\leq\epsilon$. To show that $a_b^*(x,g)=\sup_n\|ga_n(x)g\|_\infty\leq\delta$, fix n. Since $\sup_{n\leq N}\|g_N a_n(x)g_N\|_\infty\leq\delta$ for every N, we have $\|g_N a_n(x)g_N\|_\infty\leq\delta$ whenever $N\geq n$. Taking into account that $x\in\overline{X}_0$, hence $a_n(x)\in L_+$, and applying Proposition 1(ii), we arrive at $\|(\vee_{N\geq n}g_N)a_n(x)(\vee_{N\geq n}g_N)\|_\infty\leq\delta$. Therefore $\|ga_n(x)g\|_\infty\leq\delta$ since $g\leq\vee_{N\geq n}g_N$ for each n.

Thus, for any given $\epsilon>0$, $\delta>0$, it is possible to find such $\gamma>0$ that $x\in\overline{X}_0$ and $|x|<\gamma$ entail the existence of such $g\in P(M)$ that $\tau(g^{\perp})\leq\epsilon$ and $a_b^*(x,g)\leq\delta$. This means that the family $\{a_n\}$ is b.u.e. at 0 on $\overline{X}_0$. ∎

Repeating the procedure in the proof of Theorem 3 and applying Proposition 1(i), we obtain the following.

Theorem 4. (cf. [Gu, Theorem 3.1.1]) *Let X be a Banach space, and let $a_n:X\to(L,t_\tau)$ be a sequence of continuous additive maps. If the family $\{a_n\}$ is u.e.m. at 0 on a set $X_0\subset X$, then it is also u.e.m. at 0 on the closure of X_0 in X.*

4. Individual ergodic theorems. Individual ergodic theorems in L^p-spaces associated with a semifinite von Neumann algebra for $1<p<\infty$ where established in [JX] as an application of their maximal ergodic inequalities. Note that the settings of [JX] seem to be different from that of [Ye]: a map α in [JX] is initially defined on M and it is assumed that $\|\alpha(x)\|_\infty\leq\|x\|_\infty$ for each $x\in M$, whereas in [Ye] α is initially defined on L^1 and it is shown that it can be uniquely extended to an ultra-weakly continuous map on M. In what follows we show how such ergodic theorems, in the set-up of [Ye], can be obtained directly from Theorem 2 with the help of Theorems 3 and 4. Everywhere in this section, unless specified differently, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

We start with the following.

Lemma 4. *Let* $a_n : L^p \to L$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ *. Then the family* $\{a_n\}$ *is u.e.m. (b.u.e.m.) at* 0 *on* $(L^p, \| \cdot \|_p)$ *if and only if it is u.e.m. (respectively, b.u.e.m.) at* 0 *on* $(L^p_+, \| \cdot \|_p)$.

Proof. Let us consider the bilateral case. The "only" part is obvious. To prove the "only" part, fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. Then there is such $\gamma > 0$ that $x \in L^p_+$ and $\|x\|_p < \gamma$ imply that $a^*_b(x, e) \leq \frac{\delta}{4}$ for some $e \in P(M)$ with$\tau(e^\perp) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}$.

Take $x \in L^p$ such that $\|x\|_p < \gamma$. Then $x = x_1 - x_2 + i(x_3 - x_4)$, where $x_i \in L^p_+$ and $\|x_i\|_p \leq \|x\|_p < \gamma$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Therefore, there exists $e_i \in P(M)$ such that $\tau(e_i^\perp) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ and $a^*_b(x_i, e_i) \leq \frac{\delta}{4}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Now, if $e = \wedge_{i=1}^4 e_i$, then $\tau(e^\perp) \leq \epsilon$ and

$$a^*_b(x, e) \leq \sum_{i=1}^4 a^*_b(x_i, e_i) \leq \delta,$$

thus, the assertion holds. ∎

Assume now that the family $\{a_n\}$ is always given by formula (1).

Proposition 2. *The family* $\{a_n\}$ *is u.e.m. at* 0 *on* $(L^2, \| \cdot \|_2)$.

Proof. Since $\overline{L^1_+ \cap M}^{\| \cdot \|_2} = L^2_+$, due to Theorem 4 (with $X = (L^2, \| \cdot \|_2)$ and $X_0 = L^1_+ \cap M$) and Lemma 4, it is enough to show that $\{a_n\}$ is u.e.m. at 0 on $(L^1_+ \cap M, \| \cdot \|_2)$.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, and let $\nu > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ be such that $\nu \leq \delta^2$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\nu} \leq \epsilon$. Take $x \in L^1_+ \cap M$ with $\|x\|_2 < \gamma$. Since $x^2 \in L^1_+$, Theorem 2 (Remark 2) implies that $a^*_b(x^2, e) \leq \nu$ for some $e \in P(M)$ with $\tau(e^\perp) \leq \frac{\|x^2\|_1}{\nu}$. Then we have $\tau(e^\perp) \leq \frac{\|x\|_2}{\nu} < \frac{\gamma}{\nu} \leq \epsilon$ and, by Kadison's inequality,

$$a^*(x, e)^2 = \sup_n \|a_n(x)e\|^2_\infty = \sup_n \|ea_n(x)^2 e\|_\infty \leq \sup_n \|ea_n(x^2)e\|_\infty \leq \nu,$$

hence $a^*(x, e) \leq \delta$, and the proof is complete. ∎

Theorem 5. *For each* $x \in L^2$, *the averages (1) converge a.u. to some* $\hat{x} \in L^2$.

Proof. Since the map α generates a contraction in the real Hilbert space $L^2_h = \{x \in L^2 : x^* = x\}$, where $(x, y)_\tau = \tau(xy)$, $x, y \in L^2_h$, it is easy to verify that the set

$$H_0 = \{x \in L^2_h : \alpha(x) = x\} + \{x - \alpha(x) : x \in L^2_h \cap M\}$$

is dense in $(L^2_h, \| \cdot \|_2)$. Besides, the sequence $\{a_n(x)\}$ converges uniformly, hence a.u., for every $x \in H_0$.

Next, by Proposition 2, $\{a_n\}$ is u.e.m. at 0 on $(L^2_h, \|\cdot\|_2)$; therefore, Theorem 1 implies that the averages a_n converge a.u. for all $x \in L^2_h$, hence, for all $x \in L^2$. Thus, it only remains to notice that its limit $\hat{x} \in L^2$ (see, for example, [CLS, Remark 2.4 and Theorem 1.2]). ∎

Corollary 3. *The averages (1) converge a.u. for all* $x \in L^1 \cap M$.

Now we provide an alternative ending of the proof of the main result of [Ye].

Theorem 6. [Ye] *For each* $x \in L^1$*, the averages (1) converge b.a.u. to some* $\hat{x} \in L^1$.

Proof. Since the set $L^1 \cap M$ is dense in L^1 and the averages a_n converge a.u., hence b.a.u., on this set, Corollary 1 and Theorem 1 entail that the sequence $\{a_n(x)\}$ converges b.a.u. for all $x \in L^1$. Besides, by [CLS, Theorems 2.3, 1.2], its limit $\hat{x} \in L^1$. ∎

Proposition 3. *The family* $\{a_n\}$ *is b.u.e.m. at* 0 *on* $(L^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$.

Proof. Due to Lemma 4, it is enough to show that $\{a_n\}$ is b.u.e.m. at 0 on $(L^p_+, \|\cdot\|_p)$, which in turn, by Theorem 3, would follow from that of $(L^1_+ \cap M, \|\cdot\|_p)$.

So, let us fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. There exists such $t = t(p, \delta)$ that $t \cdot \lambda^p \geq \lambda$ whenever $\lambda \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$. Let $\nu > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ be such that $\nu \leq \frac{\delta}{2t}$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\nu} \leq \epsilon$.

Take $x \in L^1_+ \cap M$ such that $\|x\|_p < \gamma$. We have

$$x = \int_0^{\|x\|_\infty} \lambda de_\lambda = \int_0^{\delta/2} \lambda de_\lambda + \int_{\delta/2}^{\|x\|_\infty} \lambda de_\lambda \leq x_\delta + t \int_{\delta/2}^{\|x\|_\infty} \lambda^p de_\lambda \leq x_\delta + t \cdot x^p,$$

where $x_\delta = \int_0^{\delta/2} \lambda de_\lambda$, so that $\|x_\delta\|_\infty \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ and $0 \leq x_\delta \leq x$ implying that $x_\delta \in L^1_+ \cap M$, which in turn entails that $\|a_n(x_\delta)\|_\infty \leq \|x_\delta\|_\infty \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ for all n.

Next, since $x \in L^p_+$, it follows that $x^p \in L^1_+$. Therefore, by Theorem 2 (Remark 2), one can find such $e \in P(M)$ that $\tau(e^\perp) \leq \frac{\|x^p\|_1}{\nu} = \frac{\|x\|_p}{\nu} < \frac{\gamma}{\nu} \leq \epsilon$ and $a^*_b(x^p, e) \leq \nu \leq \frac{\delta}{2t}$. Then we have

$$a^*_b(x, e) \leq a^*_b(x_\delta, e) + t \cdot a^*_b(x^p, e) \leq \sup_n \|a_n(x_\delta)\|_\infty + \frac{\delta}{2} \leq \delta,$$

which completes the proof. ∎

Employing Proposition 3 and repeating the argument of Theorem 6, we derive the following.

Theorem 7. *For each* $x \in L^p$*, the averages (1) converge b.a.u. to some* $\hat{x} \in L^p$.

Proposition 4. *Let* $2 < p < \infty$*. Then the family* $\{a_n\}$ *is u.e.m. at* 0 *on* $(L^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$.

Proof. By Lemma 4 and Theorem 4, it is enough to show that $\{a_n\}$ is u.e.m. at 0 on $(L^p_+ \cap M, \|\cdot\|_p)$.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. Since, due to Proposition 3, $\{a_n\}$ is b.u.e.m. at 0 on $(L^{\frac{p}{2}}, \|\cdot\|_{\frac{p}{2}})$, there exists such $\gamma > 0$ that $y \in L^{\frac{p}{2}}$ and $\|y\|_{\frac{p}{2}} < \gamma$ imply that $a_b^*(y, e) \leq \delta^2$ for some $e \in P(M)$ with $\tau(e^\perp) \leq \epsilon$.

If one takes $x \in L_+^p \cap M$ with $\|x\|_p < \gamma^{1/2}$, then $x^2 \in L^{\frac{p}{2}}$ and $\|x^2\|_{\frac{p}{2}} = \|x\|_p^2 < \gamma$. Therefore, there is $e \in P(M)$ such that $\tau(e^\perp) \leq \epsilon$ and $a_b^*(x^2, e) \leq \delta^2$, and, applying Kadison's inequality again, we arrive at $a^*(x, e) \leq \delta$. ∎

Theorem 8. *If $2 \leq p < \infty$, then, for every $x \in L^p$, the averages (1) converge a.u. to some $\hat{x} \in L^p$.*

Proof. Since the set $L^1 \cap M$ is dense in L^p and, by Corollary 3, the sequence $\{a_n(x)\}$ converges a.u. for every $x \in L^1 \cap M$, applying Proposition 4 and then Theorem 1, we infer that the averages a_n converge a.u. for all $x \in L^p$. The fact that the corresponding limits $\hat{x}$ belong to L^p follows as in Theorem 6. ∎

Bibliography

[CL] V. Chilin, S. Litvinov, *Uniform equicontinuity for sequences of homomorphisms into the ring of measurable operators*, Methods of Funct. Anal. Top., 12 (2) (2006), 124-130

[CLS] V. Chilin, S. Litvinov, A. Skalski, *A few remarks in non-commutative ergodic theory*, J. Operator Theory, 53 (2) (2005), 331-350

[Ga] A. Garsia, *Topics in Almost Everywhere Convergence*, Markham: Lectures in Advanced Mathematics, 4 (1970)

[Li] S. Litvinov, *The Banach Principle for topological groups*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena e Reggio Emilia, LIII (2005), 323-330

[Gu] M. de Guzman, *Real Variable Methods in Fourier Analysis*, North-Holland: Math. Studies, 46 (1981)

[JX] M. Junge, Q. Xu, *Noncommutative maximal ergodic theorems*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 20 (2) (2007), 385-439

[Ka] R. V. Kadison, *A generalized Schwarz inequality and algebraic invariants for operator algebras*, Ann. of Math., 56 (1952), 494-503

[Ye] F. J. Yeadon, *Ergodic theorems for semifinite von Neumann algebras-I*, J. London Math. Soc., 16 (2) (1977), 326-332